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# Be <br> <br> BG Development <br> <br> BG Development Group, Inc. 

Please find attached our application for a new Plat for Sandlin Ranch, a subdivision of property located in Titus County, Texas. The items included in this package are as follows:

2 each Mylar Proposed Plats sized 18" X 24", signed by owner representative, Richard Grandy, and Notarized; also signed by Justin Kleam RPLS No 5871, also stamped with his seal.

6 each Legal sized copy of the Proposed Plat, shrunk in size to fir the $8.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{X} 14^{\prime \prime}$ paper.
1 copy of an email from Mr. Aaron Can, of Tri Special Utility District, stating sufficiency of 4" line to service the proposed new subdivision.

1 copy of email from Judy Shaddix from Ark-Tek Council of Governments, forwarding an email regarding the Private Road 2724, which has already been recorded and named by said agency in 2012, as proved by the email Dated May 7, 2012 from Yvonne Lubiejewski with the Ark-Tek Council of Governments.

Enclosed you will find Tax certificates copies (Property ID 7551, 7552) from the Titus County Appraisal District indicating that the affected properties are fully paid and in good standing with said tax agency.

Enclosed you will find Tax certificates copies (Property ID 7551, 7552) from the Titus County Tax Office indicating that the affected properties are fully paid and in good standing with said tax agency.

Thanks,


President of BG Development Group, Inc.
General Partner of Sandlin Ranch, LP

# Titus County Sheriff's Office <br> 304 South Van Buren, Mount Pleasant, Texas, 75455 

Timothy C. Ingram, Sheriff

To: Judge Brian Lee
03202017
From: Sgt. Clint Bain
Cc: Richard Grandy, Scott Hand, John M. Shaffer and Mike Fields
Ref: Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision

On February 27, 2017 Richard Grandy with BG Developers appeared at the Titus County Commissioners' Court to propose the expanded development of a subdivision his company owns on CR2720 in Titus County (Sandlin Ranch \#2).

I had spoken with Richard days prior and explained that the site plan for the property concerning on-site sewage would need to be submitted to me and that he would need to acquire the other needed surveys and plats to present to the commissioners' court. I advised him that the new development procedures, which were put in place in October of 2015 must be met including the construction of roads inside the development. Richard informed me that John Shaffer had completed the previous site plan or subdivision review for the adjoining developments and that his company would have John complete the site plan for this development. Richard further advised that the roads were already in place and that it is to be a gated development. I advised him that I was aware of that, but that they were not constructed to standard and that one of the goals of the Development Requirements in place is to insure adequate roads are constructed.

As of the time of the Commissioners' meeting that morning on February 27, 2017, I had yet to receive the proposed site plan from John Shaffer, however I had received multiple phone calls form the county clerk's office informing me that Richard Grandy was making attempts to file the plat for the subdivision. During the meeting I learned that Richard Grandy had previously met with Precinct 2 Commissioner Mike Fields and provided him with a copy of the surveys. It further appeared that Richard Grandy had obtained Fields' blessing for the development despite my concerns regarding the construction of the roads. To paraphrase Commissioner Fields, "The roads are good." From there it appears that the commissioners approved the subdivision pending the results of my review of the site plan. I informed the court that I had yet to receive the site plan and that once received I would have 45
days to review. The procedures adopted by the commissioners' court in October 2015, and later amended, appear were all but ignored as the steps for approval seems are being made in reverse.

On Tuesday afternoon February 28, 2017 I received the site plan for Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, completed by Register Professional Sanitarian John M. Shaffer. Upon my initial reading of the document I found a minor error in John Shaffer's letter to me in that he identifies the Proposed Development/Subdivision Site as Sandlin Ranch, Franklin County, Texas. This is a minor error as the site is correctly identified throughout the site plan on all other documents and is clearly inside the boarders of Titus County.
On Tuesday March 7, 2017 I drove to the Sandlin Ranch Subdivision \#1 and \#2. The road into the development is identified as PR2724 and there is only one house in the development thus far. The road(s) appear to be a gravel mix not near the standard to which CR2720 is constructed, which is the county road the development is off of. The main entrance PR2724 runs south from CR2720 making a cul-de-sac or turn around in front of the one solely construct home at 200 PR2724. The developers have made an off shoot of the road to the north and east that ends in a cul-de-sac at 300 PR2724. The proposed new or expansion of the subdivision will create new lots on the east and west side of the main entrance and on the north side of the final section of road. I attempted to drive to the end of the road, however it had rained the previous night and the tires of my patrol car began to spin and dig into the road. I stopped and backed out for fear of becoming stuck in the middle of the road.

After backing to a solid point I exited my patrol unit and took photographs of the road and the ruts made by my vehicle. I am by no means an engineer or skilled in road construction, however I do not believe that the roads in Sandlin Ranch Subdivision are constructed to any standard at this time. I do not feel the material that is in place is suitable as a base for a true all season road and it will not hold up to the weight of construction equipment that will be present as homes sites are sold and built.

As of Monday March 20, 2017 I have completed my review of the Site Plan submitted for Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision. John Shaffer has met in his Subdivision/Development Review all the requirements set forth in TAC 285.4. (requirements for this area of the state).
(A) an overall site plan;
(B) a topographic map;
(C) a 100-year floodplain map;
(D) a soil survey;
(E) the locations of water wells;
(F) the locations of easements, as identified in §285.91(10) of this title (relating to Tables); (G) a comprehensive drainage plan;
(H) a complete report detailing the types of OSSFs to be considered and their compatibility with area-wide drainage and groundwater; and
(I) other requirements, including Edwards Aquifer requirements that are pertinent to the proposed OSSF.

From the standpoint of the DR for Titus County, Shaffer's report clearly outlines the drainage for the property in relation to Lake Bob Sandlin and Cypress Creek as well as the soil compatibility with On Site Sewage Facilities. The OSSF construction on lots in this proposed subdivision will be limited to alternative septic systems as the soil is not suitable for installation and use of standard septic systems (conventional septic systems with subsurface absorption field).

The Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision has my authorization to continue with the development procedures as required by Titus County. I strongly urge the Titus County Commissioners' Court to carefully review the developer's proposal and to scrutinize the construction of the roads inside the development. The prospective buyers of the lots of inside the subdivision are potentially residents of Titus County and are due to the same services and protection as every resident of Titus County. Services and protection that will require properly constructed roads for access by fire and emergency medical vehicles and personnel and law enforcement as well as school buses and garbage collection vehicles. These are things that keep us all safe and make civilization civilized.

If you have any questions feel free to contact me.

Respectfully,


Sgt. Clint Bain
Environmental Investigator
Titus County Sheriff's Office
304 S. Van Buren
Mt. Pleasant, TX 75455
(903)572-6641 ext 313

# Subdivision/ Development Review 

For

## On-site Sewage Facilities

And
Overall Site Suitability Summary
for

# Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision 

Titus County, Texas

Prepared and Submitted by
John M. Shaffer, RS, MS TCEQ Certified Site Evaluator
and
Ginger L. Shaffer, RS, MS TCEQ Certified Site Evaluator Shaffer Environmental Consulting 16714 Fallen Leaf Way Houston, TX 77058

February 24, 2017


February 24, 2017
To: Clint Bain, Designated Representative, Titus County, Texas
This document is a "Review of Subdivision Plans", as required in Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 285 - Onsite Sewage Facilities, and more specifically: §TAC 285.4(c) "Review of Subdivision or Development Plans"

Proposed Development/Subdivision Site: Sandlin Ranch, Franklin County, Texas
Contact Person: $\quad$ Scott Hand, BG Development Group, Inc; 214-918-6468
Scope of Work: This document, prepared for submission to Titus County includes the following:

1. An overall site plan that provides individual lot sizes and any existing water well locations.
2. A topographic map with the proposed subdivision super-imposed on the map (original or legible copy of a" 7.5 degree" map with 5 foot contour intervals),
3. A subdivision plat with surface drainage and direction of drainage influenced by slope and other improvements planned for the subdivision indicated on the map.
4. In lieu of a FEMA 100-year flood plain map, a plat map of the addition with the 342 ' ' elevation contours marked as "flood elevations."
5. A soil survey which includes:
a. An original or legible copy of an existing official USDA Natural Resources Conservation Soil survey map, with the proposed subdivision location imposed on the map and documentation of each major soil association (USDA) in accordance with the current 30 TAC Chapter 285 rules for class and texture.
b. Soil drainage and groundwater information and soil limitations that could affect OSSF disposal, as identified by soil scientists in any official soil survey
c. Four (4) soil borings to a depth of 48 inches, and subsequent visual and textural analysis detailed in the "OSSF Site and Soil Evaluation.".
6. The types of OSSF disposal systems suitable for the soils in the proposed subdivision
7. An official county road map with directions to the proposed subdivision


## INDEX

# Subdivision/Development Review for On-Site Sewage Facilities Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, Titus County, Texas 
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USDA Custom Soils Report: Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Exhibit C
Plat of Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, with TCFWD \#1 "Flowage Easement" ..... Exhibit D
FEMA Flood Map, with Overlay of Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Exhibit E
Plat of Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, with Surface Drainage Shown Exhibit F
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# Overview of Soil Class Suitability for OSSF Systems 

For Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, Titus County, Texas

The soils map for Titus County shows two major soil types in the area occupied by Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision Sub-Division. The major soils encountered are Freestone, and Woodtell. They are dominantly on broad inter-stream divides, convex ridge-tops, and side slopes along drainage-ways. They have sandy or loamy surface layer and a clayey subsoil. They are acid throughout. The dominant native vegetation on the addition is shortleaf pine and various hardwoods. Native hardwoods consist mainly of red oak, post oak, sweetgum, and hickory. The dominant native vegetation on the addition is mainly pasture grasses with mixed hardwoods and with some pine. The Woodtell-Freestone soils are nearly level to moderately steep. Permeability is moderate to very slow. These soils are suited to most urban and recreational uses; however, slope, shrinking and swelling, and seasonal wetness are limiting factors.

Surface drainage is related to Big Cypress Creek, which traverses from west to east generally along the south boundary of the property. Big Cypress Creek is the main tributary of, and was inundated to form, Lake Bob Sandlin. Most of the property in the northern one-third is located along a peninsular ridge, with drainage from north to south to the lake.

## The Soils:

The Woodtell-Freestone soils are gently sloping to moderately steep, moderately welldrained loamy soils. The Woodtell soils are found on ridge-tops and side elopes adjacent to streams and Freestone soils on stream divides, foot slopes, and at the head of drainage-ways. The Woodtell soils are gently sloping to moderately steep and are very slowly permeable. The Freestone soils are gently sloping and slowly permeable.

Typically, the Woodtell soils have a dark brown fine sandy loam surface layer about three inches thick. The sub-surface layer is a dark yellowish brown find sandy loam to a depth of six inches. The sub-soil to a depth of 55 inches is red clay that grades to a yellowish brown clay loam in the lower part. The Freestone soils, typically, have a dark brown find sandy loam surface layer about five inches thick, with a subsurface layer of yellowish brown loam to a depth of 11 inches. The sub-soil to a depth of 27 inches is yellowish brown loam and clay loam that has red and gray mottles. (indicative of seasonal wetness and saturation). To a depth of 73 inches, the sub-soil is mottled grayish brown, red, and yellowish brown clay. These soils are medium to very strongly acidic. Erosion is a severe hazard in these soils. Seasonal wetness, very slow permeability, and slope are limiting factors for sanitary facilities.

For a detailed explanation of the soils encountered on this parcel, please see Exhibit C: "USDA/NRCS Custom Soil Resource Report-Sandlin Ranch Subdivision." The two soils present moderate to severe limitations for conventional septic systems due to high seasonal ground-water and/or slow percolation, i.e., clay. The attached report also shows an aerial view of the parcel with the soils type overlaid on the photo.

See below the "Table: General Summary of Soil Suitability for On-Site Sewage
Facilities" and soil textural analysis for the four soil borings.
General Summary of Soil Suitability for On-Site Sewage Facilities, Sandlin Ranch Subdivision Sub-Division (parts excerpted from USDA Soil Survey of Camp, Franklin, Morris, and Titus Counties)

| Map <br> Sym- <br> bol | Soil Name | USDA Texture <br> @ 24-48 inches | General Suitability <br> for Convention Septic <br> System Absorption <br> Field | Alternative OSSF <br> System May be <br> Required? <br> Y or $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FrB | Freestone fine sandy loam <br> 1 to 3\% Slopes | Sandy clay to <br> clay loam | Severe: seasonal <br> wetness | Yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WoE | Woodtell Fine Sandy Loam <br> 5 to 20\% slopes | Clay | Severe; seasonal <br> wetness | Yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Property: Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, Titus County, Texas
See the attached site drawing, labeled Exhibit A for location of the soil sampling sites

Soil Boring
Number
\# 1 of 4 On Lot 10

| Depth <br> (Ft.) | Texture <br> Class | Gravel <br> Analysis | Drainage <br> (Mottles/ <br> Water Table) | Restrictive <br> Horizon | Observations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 F t .}$ | IV- Clay | N/A | Common mottles <br> At 18 " and below | N/A | Clay + High seasonal <br> groundwater |
| 2 Ft | IV-Clay |  |  | Unsuitable for std. <br> septic systems |  |
| 3 Ft. | IV- Clay |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Ft. | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Ft. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Soil Bo <br> Numbe | $\text { ring } \quad \text { \# } 2 \text { of } 4$ | On Lot 19 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (Ft.) | Texture Class | Gravel Analysis | Drainage (Mottles/ Water Table) | Restrictive Horizon | Observations |
| 1 Ft . | III- Clay Loam | N/A | Common mottles At 18 " and below | N/A | Unsuitable for standard septic systems: |
| 2 Ft | IV-Clay |  | " |  | clay + high seasonal groundwater |
| 3 Ft . | IV-Clay |  | " |  |  |
| 4 Ft . | N/A |  | " |  |  |
| 5 Ft . | N/A |  |  |  |  |

Soil and Site Evaluation: Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, Titus County, TX

| Soil BoringNumber \# 3 of 4 On Lot 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (Ft.) | Texture Class | Gravel Analysis | Drainage (Mottles/ Water Table) | Restrictive Horizon | Observations |
| 1 Ft . | IV-Clay | N/A |  | N/A | Clay and high seasonal groundwater; |
| 2 Ft | IV-Clay |  | Common mottles at 18 " |  | Unsuitable for std. septic systems |
| 3 Ft . | IV-Silty Clay |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Ft . | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Ft . |  |  |  |  |  |


| Soil Boring <br> Number \#4 of 4 On Lot 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (Ft.) | Texture Class | Gravel Analysis | Drainage (Mottles/ Water Table) | Restrictive Horizon | Observations |
| 1 Ft . | III-Clay Loam | N/A |  | None observed | Clay and high seasonal groundwater; |
| 2 Ft | IV-Clay |  | Common mottles At $16^{\prime \prime}$ and below |  | Unsuitable for std. septic systems |
| 3 Ft. | IV-Clay |  |  | - |  |
| 4 Ft . | N/A |  |  |  |  |

# Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision, General Summary and Commentary 

Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision is located within the Joseph Reed Survey, Abstract 461, Titus County, Texas. Previous usage of the land includes cotton farming, dairying, and most recently, hay production and cattle grazing. Foundation of the previous farm and ranch usage dates to 1895 . The area was settled at the time of the Republic of Texas.

Comments: In general, the sub-soils encountered are clayey with significant seasonal groundwater, which severely limits the use of conventional septic systems and dictates the use of alternative on-site sewage systems. The lower one-quarter third of the subdivision is Woodtell fine sandy loam,. The area is subject is hydrostatic pressure from the lake, and the probability of seasonal high ground-water. and "free" water in the soil profile, is high during the "wet" portions of the year. None of the four test holes showed that any of the specific sites is suitable for a standard or conventional septic systems. A detailed site evaluation of each lot, when conducted with reference to the actual house site, size of house planned, slope of the terrain, planned landscaping, planned disposal area, and setbacks required by easement and by rule, may show that only alternative onsite sewage treatment systems, i.e., aerobic treatment, is acceptable or preferable. It would appear that aerobic treatment followed by spray application is the only viable alternative on any of the four lots.

Note on 100-Year Floodplain - This area of Titus County, until recently, was "unmapped" by FEMA and as such, FEMA Flood Zone Maps were not available. Please see Exhibit E, for the most current flood mapping from FEMA, which shows an overlay of the subdivision upon the FEMA prepared map.. A review of the Monticello Quadrangle topographical map appears to indicate that the area occupied by Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision is in the drainage area for Big Cypress Creek with drainage away to the east and south.. The normal pool conservation level for Lake Bob Sandlin in $337.5^{\prime}$ MSL (above meal-sea-level). Exhibit D shows the relative location of 342' MSL. Please be aware of the higher contour marked as "flowage easement" by Titus County Fresh Water District No. 1. As Lake Bob Sandlin was being constructed (1974), an engineering firm established this line (generally about 100 feet back from normal pool level) as the demarcation below which inundation may occur under flood conditions. By TCFWD rule, Section IV(A)(4) reads as follows: "No septic tanks or other facilities or uses which might bring about pollution of the reservoir shall be permitted within the confines of the easement."

Flooding of this area by rising waters to the flood levels of 342' MSL(emergency spillway height) would appear to be of low probability. However, setting of elevations by a Professional Land Surveyor would be prudent. Any professional engineer or registered sanitarian planning an on-site sewage facility for one of these lots should consider the requirements of 30 TAC 285 relating to flood-plains. For any on-site sewage system within a 100-year floodplain, planning materials must indicate how tank flotation is to be eliminated, if in the regulated floodway, and
(a) all components, with the exception of risers, chlorinators, clean-outs, sprinklers, and inspection ports, must be completely buried without adding fill, and
(b) the non-buried components (e.g., alarms, junction boxes, and compressors) must be elevated above the 100 -year flood elevation.

Note on Water Wells - No Public or Private water wells were encountered.
Note on Easements - The survey plat for Sandlin Ranch No. 2 Subdivision does not show any easement to TRI SUD for placement of a water distribution main and other utilities. There is an existing TRI SUD water line parallel to and along CR 2720 and an easement is assumed to exist.

Summary: Approval of this On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Development Review for overall site suitability by the Designated Representative (DR) for Titus County does not permit the construction of any OSSF systems in the development. Each specific lot must be addressed with an individual site and soil evaluation prior to any OSSF design. A Registered Sanitarian (RS) or Professional Engineer (PE) should work with the developer, homeowner, or installer before new home construction to ensure proper location of the structure and the OSSF. Each respective lot owner is responsible for retaining a RS or PE to design and submit a site specific technical report detailing the proposed OSSF system recommended for the site. Each lot shall constitute a separate permit submittal to Titus County DR.

This planning material has been reviewed to meet the minimum requirements of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality at the time of this summary. Before installation of any OSSF system, a comprehensive site and soils evaluation must be done in accordance with 30 TAC 285.30. Any problems arising from improper installation of an OSSF are the responsibility of the individual lot site evaluator, designer, and/or installer, and is not the responsibility of this reviewer.



Prepared by Shaffer Environmental Consulting LL 16714 Fallen Leaf Way Houston, TX 77058



## Virginia Hudson

| From: | Becki Brantley [becki@trisud.com](mailto:becki@trisud.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, February 21, 2017 11:28 AM |
| To: | vhudson@BGDevelopment.com |
| Cc: | Aaron Gann |
| Subject: | Sandlin Ranch \#2 |

Per your inquiry, there is a $4^{\prime \prime}$ line on Private Road 2724 sufficient to serve all existing lots at Sandlin Ranch \#2.

Sincerely,

Aaron Gann
General Manager
Tri Special Utility District
300 West $16{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street
Mount Pleasant, Texas 75455
PH 903-572-3676
Fax 903-572-4701
This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.

[^0]| From: | Yvonne Lubiejewski |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, May 07, 2012 9:55 AM |
| To: | 'Larry McRae' |
| Cc: | LD. Williamson; 'Lee, Brian (titusjudge@gmail.com)'; 'randy.coppedge@titus-cad.org'; |
| Subject: | 'acummins@bgdevelopment.com';' 'jonathan@thepeninsulas.com'; Sandie Embrey |
|  | RE: Sandlin Ranch Plat |

## Chief McRae,

I apologize for the wording in my email that led to your concerns. When I said that our maps were not up to date I was not referring to existing road data, I was speaking of our aerial images. Our aerial images do not show these two roads, which is why I could not locate the exact location until we received more Information.

As for providing road numbers, our current protocol is to search the MSAG (Master Street Addressing Guide) for available numbers and then contact the road commissioner for approval. The roads are not added to the map until we speak to the commissioner, which is why I stated to Randy with Titus CAD and Alaina with BG Development that the roads and addresses would not be added to the map until this week. Although I should have specified that was pending the commissioner's approval. As of this morning the roads in question have been approved by Commissioner Mike Fields, the addresses assigned are valid 9-1-1 addresses, and a copy of the plat along with the addressing information wiil be sent to the commissioner's office.

## Yvonne Lubiejewski <br> ALI Maintenance Technician <br> Ark-Tex Council of Governments

Phone: (903) 832-8636
Fax: (903) 832-3441
ylubiejewski@atcog.org

## From: Larry McRae [mailto:LMcRae@mpcity.org]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 10:16 AM
To: ylublejewski@atcog.org
Cc: LD Williamson (Idwilliamson@atcog.org); Lee, Brian (titusjudge@gmail.com); randy.coppedge@tttus-cad.org; acummins@bgdevelopment.com; jonathan@thepeninsulas.com
Subject: FW: Sandlin Ranch Plat

## Ms. Lubiejewski

I was forwarded this e-mail by our Chamber of Commerce Executive Director. I have some concerns about what is contained in it.

1. You said the maps are not up to date so you could not see where the road in question is suppose to be. Michael Catron with the COG sent us new county maps on January $24^{\text {th }}$ of this year. A lot of work was done on our part to provide updated information for the map and a lot of time was spent reviewing the draft of the map before it was printed. We did this same process a few years when the last map was printed however, after the map was printed all the data for that map was lost causing us to have to recreate it for this one. Has the data been lost again?
2. You are providing road numbers for the new roads. The COG does not provide the road numbers, the county commissioners do. I talked with Commissioner Mike Fields and he said he had not provided the COG with any information for numbering new roads. He said you need to get with him before continuing with assigning road

## Virginia Hudson

| From: | Judy Shaddix [jshaddix@atcog.org](mailto:jshaddix@atcog.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, February 21, 2017 2:27 PM |
| To: | vhudson@bgdevelopment.com |
| Subject: | Sandlin Ranch |
| Attachments: | XEROX_02_21_1714_21_21.pdf |

I did some research and located an email date May 7, 2012 in which a previous employee stated that as of that morning the roads for this development had been approved by Road Commissioner Mike Fields. I hope this will work for you to confirm that the information was approved prior to our putting it on the map.


## issued By:

PO Box 528
Mount Pleasant, TX 75456-0528

Owner ID: 100052


## GurrentralingMenctaxa

This is to certify that, after a careful check of the tax records of this office, the following delinquent taxes, penalties, interest and any known costs and expenses as provided by Tax Code §33.48, are due on the described property for the following taxing unit(s):

| Endit |  | Taxpue: | D]lacipa | Atomey Fios | Totalpuo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



## Tax Certificate Issued for:

 Mount Pleasant ISDTaxes Pald $\ln 2016$
976.70

If applicable, the above-described property has/ls receiving special appralsal based on its use, and additional rollback taxes may become due based on the provisions of the special appraisal (Comptroller Rule 9.3040 ) or property omitted from the appraisal roil as described under Tax Code Section 25.21 is not included in thls certificate [Tax Code Section 31.08(b)].

Pursuant to Tax Code Section 31.08, If a person transfers property accompanied by a tax centificate that erroneously indicates that no delinquent taxes, penalties or interest are due a taxing unit on the property or that fails to include property because of its omission from an appraisal roll, the unit's tax lien on the property is extinguished and the purchaser of the property is absolved of liability to the unit for delinquent taxes, persillet or interest on the property or for taxes based on omitted property. The person who was llable for the tax for the year the tax was imposed or the property was omitted remains personally liable for the tax and for any penalities or interest.

A tax certificate issuied throughi :raud or collusion is vold.
This certifiosite does not clear abuse of granted exemptions as defined in Section 11.43 Paragraph(1) of the Texas Property Tax Code.


Date of lssue: 02/22/2017
Requested By: SANDLINRANCH LP
Fee Amount:
Reference\#: REQUESTED

Issued By:
Titus County Appraisal District
PO Box 528
Mount Pleasant, TX 75456-0528
Property ID: $7551 \quad$ Geo ID: 00461-00000-00150
Legal Acres: $19.0250 \quad$
Legal Desc:
REED, JOSEPH ABS 00461 TR 15019.025 AC
Situs: $\quad$ CR 2720 TX
DBA:
Exemptions:
Owner ID: $100052 \quad 100.00 \%$
SANDLINRANCH LP
10670 N CENTRAL EXPY STE 470
DALLAS, TX 75231-1074

| For Entut | Valuernomation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mount Pleasant ISD | Improvement HS: | 0 |
| NTX Community College | Improvement NHS: | 43,615 |
| Titus County | Land HS: | 0 |
| Titus Reglonal Medical Center | Land NHS: | 236,295 |
|  | Productivity Market: | 0 |
|  | Productivity Use: | 0 |
|  | Assessed Value | 279,910 |

## 

This is to certify that, after a careful check of the tax records of this office, the following delinquent taxes, penalties, interest and any known costs and expenses as provided by Tax Code $\S 33.48$, are due on the described property for the following taxing unit(s):


| Tax Cerdiflcate lssued for: | Taxes Paid in 2016 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mount Pleasant ISD | $3,392.51$ |

If applicable, the above-described property has/ls receiving special appraisal based on its use, and additional rollback taxes may become due based on the provisions of the special appraisal (Comptroller Rule 9.3040) or property omitted from the appraisal roll as descrited under Tax Code Section 25.21 is not included In this certificate [Tax Code Section 31.08(b)].

Pursuant to Tax Code Section 31.08, if a person transfers property accompanied by a tax certificate that erroneously indicates that no delinquent taxes, penaities or interest are due a taxing unit on the property or that falls to include property because of its omission from an appraisal roll, the umirs tux lien on the property is extingulshed and the purchaser of the property is absolved of liability to the unit for delinquent taxes, penaitles or interest on the property or for taxes based on omitted property. The person who was liable for the tax for the year the tax was linpesed or the property was omitted remains personally llable for the tax and for any penalties or interest.

A tax cestidicate issued through fraud or collusion is void.
This cerinicate does not clear abuge of granted exemptions as defined in Section 11.43 Paragraph(1) of the Texas Property Tax Code.

May Bo subject to Court Costs.If.Suit is Pending


| Date of issue: | O2/222017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requestisd By: | SANDLINRANCH LP |
| Fee Amount: | 10.00 |

## Issued By:

TITUS COUNTY TAX OFFICE 110 S MADISON SUITE A MOUNT PLEASANT, TX 75455
Property ID:
Property Information
Legal Acres: $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ Geo ID: 00461-00000-00151

| For Entities | Value Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| NTX Community College | Improvement HS: | 0 |
| Titus County | Improvement NHS | 0 |
| Titus County Hospital | Land HS: | 0 |
|  | Land NHS: | 80.586 |
|  | Productivity Market: | 0 |
|  | Productivity Use: | 0 |
|  | Assessed Value | 80.586 |

## CurrentJelinquent Taxes

This is to certify that, after a careful check of the tax records of this office, the following delinquent taxes, penalties, interest and any known costs and expenses as provided by Tax Code §33.48, are due on the described property for the following taxing unit(s):

| Year Entity | Taxable | Tax Due | Disc./P\&1 | Attorney Fee | Total Due |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Totals: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Outstanding Litigation Fees | Amount Due |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fee Date | Fee Description |  | 10.00 |
| $02 / 22 / 2017$ | TAX CERTIFICATES |  | 10.00 |
|  | Total Fees Due: | Total Due if paid by: $\mathbf{0 2 / 2 8 / 2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0}$ |

Tax Certificate Issued for: Taxes Paid in $2016 \quad$ NA
Titus County
Titus County Hospital
NTX Community College
If applicable, the above-described property has/is receiving special appraisal based on its use, and additional rollback taxes may become
due based on the provisions of the special appraisal (Comptrolier Rule 9.3040 ) or property omitted from the appraisal roll as described
under Tax Code Section $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 1}$ is not included in this certificate [Tax Code Section 31.08 (b)].
Pursuant to Tax Code Section $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 8 , ~ i f ~ a ~ p e r s o n ~ t r a n s f e r s ~ p r o p e r t y ~ a c c o m p a n i e d ~ b y ~ a ~ t a x ~ c e r t i f i c a t e ~ t h a t ~ e r r o n e o u s l y ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ t h a t ~ n o ~}$
delinquent taxes, penalties or interest are due a taxing unit on the property or that fails to include property because of its omission from an
appraisal roll, the unit's tax lien on the property is extinguished and the purchaser of the property is absolved of liability to the unit for
delinquent taxes, penalties or interest on the property or for taxes based on omitted property. The person who was liable for the tax for the
year the tax was imposed or the property was omitted remains personally liable for the tax and for any penalties or interest.

A tax certificate issued through fraud or collusion is void.
This certificate does not clear abuse of granted exemptions as defined in Section 11.43 Paragraph(i) of the Texas Property Tax Code.


| Date of issue: | 02/22/2017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested By: | SANDLINRANCH LP |
| Fee Amount: | 10.00 |
| Reference \#: |  |


|  | Property Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Property ID: | $7551 \quad$ Geo ID: 00461-00000-00150 |  |
| Legal Acres: | $19.0250 \quad$ |  |
| Legal Desc: | REED, JOSEPH ABS 00461 TR 15019.025 AC |  |
| Situs: | CR 2720, |  |
| DBA: |  |  |
| Exemptions: |  |  |

Owner ID: $532874 \quad 100.00 \%$
SANDLINRANCH LP
10670 N CENTRAL EXPY STE 470
DALLAS, TX $75231-1074$

| For Entities | Value Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Improvement HS | 0 |
| NTX Community College | Improvement NHS: | 43,615 |
| Titus County | 0 |  |
| Titus County Hospital | Land HS | 236,295 |
|  | Land NHS | 0 |
|  | Productivity Market: | 0 |
|  | Productivity Use: | 279,910 |

## Current/Delinquent Taxes

This is to certify that, after a careful check of the tax records of this office, the following delinquent taxes, penalties, interest and any known costs and expenses as provided by Tax Code $\S 33.48$, are due on the described property for the following taxing unit(s):

| Year Entity | Taxable | Tax Due | Disc.JP\&1 | Attorney Fee | Total Due |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Totals: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Outstanding Litigation Fees | Amount Due |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fee Date | Fee Description | 10.00 |
| $02 / 22 / 2017$ | TAX CERTIFICATES |  |
|  | Total Fees Due: | Total Due if paid by: $02 / 28 / 2017$ |
| Effective Date: $\mathbf{0 2 / 2 2 / 2 0 1 7}$ |  | 10.00 |

Tax Certificate Issued for: Taxes Paid in $2016 \quad$ NA
Titus County
Titus County Hospitai
NTX Community College
If applicable, the above-described property has/is receiving special appraisal based on its use, and additional rollback taxes may become
due based on the provisions of the special appraisal (Comptroller Rule 9.3040 ) or property omitted from the appraisal roll as described
under Tax Code Section 25.21 is not included in this certificate [Tax Code Section 31.08 (b)].

Pursuant to Tax Code Section 31.08 , if a person transfers property accompanied by a tax certificate that erroneously indicates that no
delinquent taxes, penalties or interest are due a taxing unit on the property or that fails to include property because of its omission from an
appraisal roll, the unit's tax lien on the property is extinguished and the purchaser of the property is absolved of liability to the unit for
delinquent taxes, penalties or interest on the property or for taxes based on omitted property. The person who was liable for the tax for the
year the tax was imposed or the property was omitted remains personally liable for the tax and for any penalties or interest.

A tax certificate issued through fraud or collusion is void.
This certificate does not clear abuse of granted exemptions as defined in Section 11.43 Paragraph(1) of the Texas Property Tax Code.

| Date of lssue: | 02/2212017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested By: | SANDLINRANCH LP |
| Fee Amount: | 10.00 |

Reference \#:
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